

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on June 16, 2006. Claims 1 - 27 are canceled, and claims 28 - 33 are added; as a result, claims 28 - 33 are now pending in this application.

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 15-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiser et al. (U.S. 6,385,596) in view of Hardjono (U.S. 6,182,214), in view of Johnston (U.S. 6,373,946), in view of Arnold (U.S. 6,175,924), and in view of Nakagawa (U.S. 2002/0016775), further in view of Chang (U.S. 6,922,735).

Claim 5 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiser et al., Hardjono, Johnston, Arnold, Nakagawa, and Chang, and further in view of Howard et al. (U.S. 2002/0069365).

Claims 1 – 27 been cancelled. Newly added claims 28 – 33 are directed to a wireless communication device and are believed to be distinguishable over the cited references because the cited reference do not, either separately, or in combination, teach, suggest or motivate A multiprocessor wireless communication device comprising a security processor, a communications processor and a RF interface. As recited in claim 28, the security processor combines first, second and third key-shares to generate a decryption key to decrypt content for the processing system. The security processor also monitors usage of the content and purges at least one of the key-shares when the usage exceeds a measurement parameter. As further recited in claim 28, the communications processor plays decrypted content received from the security processor. As further recited in claim 28, the RF interface receives a first and second of the key-shares and encrypted content over a wireless communication link in response to a request for content and verification of a user's credit. As further recited in claim 28, the security processor and the communication processor are located within a processor area of an integrated circuit, and communications between the security processor and the communication processor take place within the processor area to inhibit unauthorized interception of the decrypted content and interception of the third key-share stored in the processor area. As further recited in claim 28, the wireless communication device has the third key-share pre-stored in the processor area, wherein

the security processor authenticates the measurement parameters with an authentication code to help prevent tampering with the measurement parameters, and the measurement parameters are secured by the authentication code and provided by a security server over the wireless link along with the encrypted content or when the authentication code fails to authenticate.

In view of this, Applicants submit that claims 28 – 33 are allowable over the cited references.

Claim 29 further distinguishes over the cited reference by reciting that the security processor portion purges at least one of the key-shares when usage of the content exceeds a service limit indicated by the measurement parameters.

Claim 30 further distinguishes over the cited reference by reciting that the security processor retrieves a fourth key-share from a subscriber identity module inserted into the wireless communication device, and receives the second key-share from a finance server when a user's credit is verified for use of the content.

Claim 31 further distinguishes over the cited reference by reciting that the measurement parameters comprise at least one of a date-limit, a run-time limit, and an iteration limit.

Claim 32 further distinguishes over the cited reference by reciting that an applications processor located with the processor area processes applications running on the wireless communication device, and that the security processor, the communications processor and the applications processor are fabricated within an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC).

Claim 33 further distinguishes over the cited references by reciting that a module receiving area receives a subscriber identity module (SIM) and that the SIM having the third key-share pre-stored therein.

None of these recitations, in combination, are taught, suggested or motivated by the cited references.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (480) 659-3314 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

ERNEST E. WOODWARD

By his Representatives,
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(480) 659-3314

By /  _

Gregory J. Gorrie
Reg. No. 36,530